UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,315	04/09/2007	Louis Fouarge	F-896 (31223.00125)	6498
25264 FINA TECHNO	7590 08/04/201 DLOGY INC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 67441	-	TESKIN, FRED M		
HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,315	FOUARGE, LOUIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fred M. Teskin	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Λ</u>	May 2010				
					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 29-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 29-51 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	0 Thurs 1 2	(PTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	ate			

Detailed Action

This action follows a reply filed on 05/17/2010. Per the reply, the Specification and claim 51 have been amended; no claims have been cancelled or added. Claims 29-51 remain pending and under examination.

The objections set out in the last Office action but not repeated herein are withdrawn.

The specification stands objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the lack of proper antecedent basis for the subject matter of claims 34 and 46, particularly the recitation "said section transfer conduit ... extends downwardly ... by an angle of at least 30°". The most relevant supporting disclosure provides basis only for a downward angle preferably superior to 10° (see page 19, lines 8-9 and page 24, lines 22-23).

Applicant's arguments respecting the repeated objection have been fully considered and found not to be persuasive.

The thrust of the arguments is that paragraph 89 of the Specification provides the requisite support for the claimed feature of an angle of at least 30°. Examiner agrees only insofar as the cited paragraph describes an *upward* direction under an angle preferably superior to 10°, and more preferably superior to 30°. Claims 34 and 46,

however, claim embodiments wherein the section of transfer conduit between the pump and the contact vessel "extends downwardly ... by an angle of at least 30°". Applicant has failed to explain how the cited text describing an *upward* direction under an angle superior to 30° provides antecedent basis for the claimed feature wherein the section of transfer conduit between the pump and the contact vessel extends *downwardly* from the pump by an angle of at least 30°. Absent such explanation, the continued objection is still deemed tenable and therefore must be maintained.

Claims 29-51 are maintained as allowable for the reasons detailed in the last Office action.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Objection to the specification as detailed above.

Prosecution on the merits is (or remains) closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/589,315 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

(571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Fred M Teskin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796